	Case 2:24-cv-03518-WBS-CSK D	ocument 2 Filed 01/03/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		No. 2:24-cv-03515-WBS-CSK
12	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-03518-WBS-CSK
13		No. 2:24-cv-03521-WBS-CSK
14		No. 2:24-cv-03528-WBS-CSK
15		No. 2:24-cv-03531-WBS-CSK
16		No. 2:24-cv-03534-WBS-CSK
17		No. 2:24-cv-03535-WBS-CSK
18		No. 2:24-cv-03537-WBS-CSK
19		No. 2:24-cv-03572-WBS-CSK
20		No. 2:24-cv-03573-WBS-CSK
21		
22		
23		ORDER
24		
25		
26	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the	
27	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious	
28	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any	
		1

1 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in 2 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No. 3 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of 4 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for 5 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's 6 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.) 7 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and 8 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹ 9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-03515, 2:24-cv-03518, 2:24-cv-10 03521, 2:24-cv-03528, 2:24-cv-03531, 2:24-cv-03534, 2:24-cv-03535, 2:24-cv-03537, 2:24-cv-03572 and 2:24-cv-03573 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases. 11 12 No further filings will be accepted. V ShibE 13 Dated: January 2, 2025 WILLIAM B. SHUBB 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-03521, plaintiff names the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the caption of 2:24-cy-03572, plaintiff names the Amador 26 County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the 27

claims raised in 2:24-cv-03521 and 2:24-cv-03572 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County

28

criminal conviction.